

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 **PEDRO FORTIN,** ) **NO. CV 18-8718-SJO (KS)**  
11 **Petitioner,** )  
12 **v.** ) **ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND**  
13 ) **RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED**  
14 **ROSEMARY NDOH, Warden,** ) **STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**  
15 **Respondent.** )  
16 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
17

18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for Writ of Habeas  
19 Corpus (“Petition”), all of the records herein, the Report and Recommendation of United  
20 States Magistrate Judge (“Report”), and Petitioner’s Objections to the Magistrate Judge’s  
21 Report and Recommendation (“Objections”). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and  
22 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b), the Court has conducted a *de novo* review of those  
23 portions of the Report to which objections have been stated. Having completed its review, the  
24 Court accepts the findings and recommendations set forth in the Report.

25 \\  
26 \\  
27 \\  
28 \\  
29

1           Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that: (1) the Petition is DENIED; and (2) Judgment  
2 shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.

3 

4 DATED:     8/22/19

5 

---

S. JAMES OTERO  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28